

moment of truth



Legal update 3 of 2022: Limitations of a power of attorney

Introduction

This update focuses on whether an agent under a power of attorney has the right to represent the person who granted them authority to act on their behalf in a court of law. Below is a summary of and more detail on the case.

Summary

Case: Carelse & Akers vs Standard Bank of South Africa Limited: Western Cape High Court, Cape Town (Case no: 12443/07)

• The finding: The court found that the agent had no legal standing to approach the court on behalf of her parents. The agent herself was not a lawyer, which is a requirement to represent a person in a court of law.

The court based this decision on the required minimum standards set by the Legal Practice Council.

• Practical application: A person may authorise someone to act on their behalf in the administration of their legal affairs. However, in the event of a legal dispute in a court of law, they must hire a qualified legal practitioner.

Details of the case

Case: Carelse & Akers vs Standard Bank of South Africa Limited: Western Cape High Court, Cape Town (Case no: 12443/07)

The timeline of the events leading up to the case before the High Court was as follows:

- 16 March 2008: Standard Bank obtained a default judgement which allows for the repossession of Mr and Mrs Akers' (the couple's) property, after they fell into arrears with the bond repayments in the amount of R330 992.18.
- November 2016: Standard Bank's attorney communicated with the couple through their daughter, Ms Akers after they fell into arrears again. The couple was notified that the outstanding balance on the account was R170 632.52, excluding future legal costs

- and interests. They were further informed that Standard Bank proposed an 80% discount, which would bring the settlement amount to a total of R34 126.52 and that the offer would be valid until December 2016.
- 17 February 2017: Standard Bank's attorneys gave the couple 24-hour notice that their assets may be attached if judgement is obtained against them on their home loan account after they had defaulted on the payment.
- Due to his advanced age and the subsequent passing of his wife, the first applicant sought to have Ms Akers, his daughter, intervene on his behalf. He then signed a power of attorney to enable Ms Akers to represent him in court.

 Mr Akers launched three applications intending to cancel the court order to have his house repossessed.

The High Court found that Ms Akers had no direct and substantial interest to represent her father. The court, however, noted that the willingness of blood relatives to help by doing some of the work and providing information speeds up the litigation process.

The court ruled that representing a person in High Court litigation processes is a serious exercise that requires a person to have a right of appearance as set out in the Legal Practice Act 28 of 2014 ('LPA'). The LPA requires that before representing another in court, the person must have a legal qualification, be a South African citizen or a

permanent resident in the republic, be a fit and proper person and must be registered with the South African Legal Practice Council.

The High Court ruled that Ms Akers not being a legal practitioner could not solely rely on the power of attorney to represent her father in court.

The application by the daughter to represent her father in court was dismissed.

Hettie Joubert

Head: Legal - Wealth & Retirement Products

The information used to prepare this document includes information from third-party sources and is for information purposes only. Although reasonable steps have been taken to ensure the validity and accuracy of the information contained herein, Momentum Metropolitan Life Limited does not guarantee the accuracy, content, completeness, legality or reliability of the information contained herein and no warranties and/or representations of any kind, expressed or implied, are given to the nature, standard, accuracy or otherwise of the information provided.

Neither Momentum Metropolitan Life Limited, its affiliates, directors, officers, employees, representatives or agents (the Momentum Parties) have any liability to any persons or entities receiving the information made available herein for any claim, damages, loss or expense, including, without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive or consequential cost, loss or damages, whether in contract or in delict, arising out of or in connection with information made available herein and you agree to indemnify the Momentum Parties accordingly. For further information, please visit us at momentum.co.za. Momentum Investments is part of Momentum Metropolitan Life Limited, an authorised financial services and registered credit provider, and rated B-BBEE level 1.